

**General Plan 2020  
Interest Group Meeting Minutes  
November 21, 2000**

---

**Attendees:**

Carol Chase	Robert Bien and Frost
Chris Anderson	Ramona Chamber of Commerce
Dan Friedlander	Stephenson Worley
Dan Silver	Endangered Habitats League
David R. Shibley	Save Our Land Values
Diane Coombs	Citizen Coordinate for Century 3
Eric Bowlby	Sierra Club
Eric Larson	Farm Bureau
Florence Sloane	Sporting Dog Counsel
Gerald Walson	BARC
Greg Hill	Bureau Land Management
Hieliene Brazifin	BARC
J. Vance	Vance Associates
Jim Whalen	Alliance for Habitat Conservation
John Wamp	(n/a)
Kat Waters	(n/a)
Kevin Doyle	National Wildlife Federation
Larry Paris	Rancho Santa Fe
Matt Adams	Business Industry Association
Paul B. Etzel	SDSU Astronomy Department
Paul Gebert	SDCWA
Ruth Potter	Sierra Club
Troy Murphee	Sweetwater Authority
Wallace Tucker	San Diego Land Trust Coalition

**County:**

Gary L. Pryor (DPLU)  
LeAnn Carmichael (DPLU)  
Aaron Barling (DPLU)

1. Introductions
2. Review Board of Supervisors Hearing 11/1/00
  - a. Approved scope of work changes and contract extension
  - b. Required notice to all unincorporated area property owners
  - c. Minutes for the Steering Committee and Interest Group meetings are on the General Plan 2020 website.
  - d. Both groups' meeting schedules are currently posted on the General Plan 2020 website.
  - e. Goals, policies and standards that have been agreed to by both groups are also available on the website.
  - f. November 15<sup>th</sup> Board discussion on revised Goals & Policies, Standards, Land Use Categories, and glossary continued to January 10<sup>th</sup> Board conference on GP2020.

3. Review Planning Commission workshop 11/13/00  
P/C took public testimony for most of the workshop and continued the agenda items to the next workshop on November 27<sup>th</sup> (in DPLU hearing room & added another workshop for December 1<sup>st</sup> (location ?)
4. Review of Alternative 3 Testing Report – Aaron & Rose
5. Where should growth be directed & reduced? Review resource & land use maps. Does this group want to work on an alternative plan?
6. Major Issue Discussion –
  - a. Do we want to have planned growth balanced with infrastructure or continue with our existing General Plan pattern of sprawl?
  - b. What is the function of our interstate system (city to city traffic or local traffic)?
  - c. How should spheres of influence be addressed? Use city plans or plan according to constraints, infrastructure, & goals/policies?

Comments made by members of the group:

- ◆ The villages should be discrete
- ◆ There should be a test pilot project
- ◆ Look into rural design standards
- ◆ Bring in a rural planner to educate each community
- ◆ The land will bear what it can bear
- ◆ Step back –go planning area by planning area
- ◆ Increasing density in villages does not equal community character
- ◆ Make this a resource based plan
- ◆ Have a current general plan with a constraints overlay
- ◆ The base line for the population targets is the issue
- ◆ We need a new base line
- ◆ Transfer of development rights reduces the big losers
- ◆ Create a system where we could transfer development rights to the cities
- ◆ Cities are where growth should occur
- ◆ Need to show the cost of infrastructure so the public knows the real cost

Topics of discussion for upcoming meetings are:

- Clustering
- Watershed
- Baseline
- Organize an outline regarding where this group is going
- CWA presentation

Next Meeting scheduled for December 19, 2000

There were no motions made at this interest group meeting.